LAW OFFICES

COHN AND MARKS LLP

ROBERT B. JACOBI ROY R RUSSO RONALD A. SIEGEL LAWRENCE N. COHN LAWRENCE N. CODIN RICHARD A. HELMICK J BRIAN DE BOICE JEROLD L. JACOBS ELLEN MANDELL EDMUNDSON

SUITE 300 1920 N STREET N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-1622 MARCUS COHN (1913-2001) LEONARD H. MARKS (1916-2006)

OF COUNSEL:

SUSAN V SACHS

TELEPHONE: (202) 293-3860 FACSIMILE: (202) 293-4827 HOME PAGE: WWW.COHNMARKS.COM

DIRECT DIAL: (202) 452-4810 L

EMAIL ADDRESS: Robert.Jacobi@Cohnmarks.com

April 25, 2008

FILED/ACCEPTED APR 2 5 2008

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission The Portals II 445 – 12th Street, S.W. Room TW-A325 Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Ms. Dortch

On behalf of the McKinnon Stations, there is herewith transmitted an original and nine copies of "McKinnon Stations Comments" in the matter of Broadcast Localism (MB Docket No. 04-233).

Yours very truly

RBJ:btc

Enclosures

The Commissioners cc:

> No. of Copies rec'd 0 List ABCDE

BEFORE THE

Federal Communications Commission

FILED/ACCEPTED

APR 2 5 2008

In the Matter of

Broadcast Localism

MB Docket No. 04-233

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

To: Office of the Secretary

Federal Communications Commission

MCKINNON STATIONS COMMENTS

Channel 3 of Corpus Christi, Inc., licensee of station KIII, Corpus Christi, Texas; Channel 12 of Beaumont, Texas, Inc., licensee of station KBMT, Beaumont, Texas; and Channel 51 of San Diego, Inc., licensee of station KUSI, San Diego, California (hereinafter the "McKinnon Stations"), by and through counsel, respectfully submit Comments in the above-referenced proceeding.

The Federal Communications Commission (hereinafter the "Commission") released a document entitled Report on Broadcast Localism and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("NPRM") on January 24, 2008. The following Comments are directed to Section IIIA ("Communication Between Licensees and Their Communities") and Section IIIH ("License Renewal Procedures") and are intended for commercial licensees only.

McKinnon stations believe that the imposition of specific government mandates as to the methodology of ascertainment efforts and specific program renewal guidelines violates the First Amendment. In the event that the Commission determines to proceed with the proposals discussed herein, the Comments proffered may avoid First Amendment issues.

1. The Commission acknowledges that

"... some broadcasters engage in substantial, inventive, and ongoing efforts to identify the needs and interests of the members of their communities of license as a first step in formulating and airing locally oriented, community-responsive programming that will meet those needs." (NPRM, Para. 13)

Moreover, the NPRM references the fact that at the localism field hearings, many local officials commended their respective area broadcasters for their interaction with their communities and the provision of locally oriented programming (NPRM, p. 7, n.24) and that "... some licensees strive to actively ascertain the needs and interests of the communities they serve and air programming that reflects those needs and interests" (NPRM, Para. 15). Nevertheless, the NPRM concludes "... there is some question as to whether these practices have been widespread" (NPRM, Para. 15). In short, the NPRM recognizes the existence of a conundrum: (a) there are broadcasters which "... engage in substantial, inventive, and ongoing efforts to identify the needs" (NPRM, Para. 13) and which provide programming responsive to those needs and (b) broadcasters which fall short as to ascertainment efforts and the airing of responsive programming. The Commission's primary focus should be directed to the latter.

2. The Commission's existing methodology for achieving compliance with EEO "Outreach Activities" (Section 73.2080(c)(2)) provides an equitable framework for government regulation of factual situations which present a conundrum.²

Section 73.2080 of the rules sets forth a menu of 16 "Outreach Activity" options. Licensees with more than ten full-time employees and not located in a smaller market are required to participate in at least four of the menu options over a two-year time period.

For example, instead of mandating Community Advisory Boards or renewal guidelines

such as the number of hours per week/percentages for locally oriented programming,

develop a menu of options setting forth numerous initiatives for ascertainment efforts

(which could include the aforesaid options) and requiring that the broadcaster satisfy a

given number of the options dependent upon factors such as market size and Class

(television, radio-AM/FM).

3. Such an approach would allow the respective broadcaster to

determine the most effective local ascertainment methodology and to maintain licensee

control of programming in lieu of governmental mandates - an approach consistent with

the views expressed by Commissioners McDowell and Tate. Moreover, such approach

would in fact primarily affect only those stations which are deemed to "fall short" - since

it is reasonable to presume that stations categorized in the NPRM as engaging "...in

substantial, inventive, and ongoing efforts to identify the needs" will have already

satisfied all or most of the required number of menu options.

4. Finally, such an approach is substantially less vulnerable to First

Amendment issues.

Respectfully submitted COHN AND MARKS LLP

By:

Robert B. Jacobi 1920 N Street, N.W.

Suite 300

Washington, DC 20036

(202) 293-3860

Date: April 25, 2008